arXiv:1607.03727v1 [math.GR] 13 Jul 2016

On Thompson's conjecture for alternating group of large degree

I. B. Gorshkov [1]

Abstract: For a finite group G, let $N(G)$ denote the set of conjugacy class sizes of G. We show that if every finite group G with trivial center such that $N(G)$ equals to $N(Alt_n)$, where $n > 1361$ and at least one of numbers n or $n-1$ are decomposed into a sum of two primes, then $G \simeq Alt_n$.

Key words: finite group, alternating group, conjugacy class, Thompson's conjecture.

1 Introduction

Let G be a finite group. Put $N(G) = \{|g^G| \mid g \in G\}$. In 1987 Thompson posed the following conjecture concerning $N(G)$.

Thompson's Conjecture (see [1], Question 12.38). *If L is a finite simple non-abelian group, G is a finite group with trivial center, and $N(G) = N(L)$, then $G \simeq L$.*

It is proved that Thompson's conjecture is valid for many finite simple groups of Lie type (see [2]). Denote the alternating group of degree n by Alt_n and the symmetric group of degree n by Sym_n. Alavi and Daneshkhah [3] proved that the groups Alt_n, where at least one of numbers n, $n-1$ or $n-2$ is prime, are characterized by $N(G)$. This conjecture has also been confirmed for Alt_{10}, Alt_{16}, Alt_{22} and Alt_{26} in [4], [5], [6] and [7], respectively. In [8], the author showed that if $N(G) = N(Alt_n)$ for $n \geq 5$ then G is non-solvable. In [9], we obtained some information about composition factors of a group G with $N(G) = N(Alt_n)$, where $n > 1361$.

The aim of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem. *Let G is a finite group with the trivial center such that $N(G) = N(Alt_n)$, $n \geq 27$, G contains a composition factor $S \simeq Alt_{n-\varepsilon}$, where ε is a non-negative integer such that the set $\{n-\varepsilon, ..., n\}$ does not contain primes and at least one of numbers n or $n-1$ is decomposed into a sum of two primes. Then $G \simeq Alt_n$.*

This Theorem and the main result of [9] (see Lemma 13 below) imply immediately the following corollary.

[1]The work is supported by the Russian Science Foundation (project no. 15-11-10025)

Corollary. *Thompson's conjecture is true for the alternating group of degree n if $n > 1361$ and at least one of numbers n or $n-1$ is decomposed into a sum of two primes.*

Even positive integers, which can not be decomposed into a sum of two primes, are currently unknown.

2 Notation and preliminary results

All notation are standard and can be found in [10].

Lemma 1 ([4, Lemma 4]). *Suppose that G is a finite group with the trivial center and p is a prime from $\pi(G)$ such that p^2 does not divide $|x^G|$ for all x in G. Then a Sylow p-subgroup of G is elementary abelian.*

Lemma 2 ([12, Teorem 1]). *Let G be a finite group acting transitively on a set Ω with $|\Omega| > 1$. Then there exist a prime r and a r-element $g \in G$ such that g acts without fixed points on Ω.*

Lemma 3. *Let G is a finite group, $g \in G$, $|\pi(g)| = 1$ and $|g^G| > 1$. Then there exists $g' \in G$ such that $\pi(g) \subseteq \pi(|(g')^G|)$ and $|\pi(g')| = 1$.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2 □

Lemma 4. *Let $G = T \leftthreetimes \langle g \rangle$, $(|T|, |g|) = 1$, $|\pi(g)| = 1$ and $|g^G| > 1$. Then there exist normal subgroups K and R in G such that $K < R$, R/K is a minimal normal subgroup of G/K, $|g^R| > 1$ and $[g, T] \leq R$.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3, Frattini argument and [10, Lemma 5.3.2]. □

Lemma 5 ([10, Theorem 5.2.3]). *Let A be a $\pi(G)'$-group of automorphisms of an abelian group G. Then $G = C_G(A) \times [G, A]$.*

Lemma 6 ([9, Lemma 1.6]). *Let S be a non-abelian finite simple group. If $p \in \pi(S)$ then there exist $a \in N(S)$ and $g \in S$ such that $|a|_p = |S|_p$, $|g^S| = a$ and $|\pi(g)| = 1$.*

Lemma 7 ([11, Lemma 14]). *Let S be a non-abelian finite simple group. Any odd element from $\pi(Out(S))$ either belongs to $\pi(P)$ or does not exceed $m/2$, where $m = max_{p \in \pi(S)}$.*

Lemma 8 ([9, Lemma 1.4]). *Let G be a finite group, $K \trianglelefteq G$, $\overline{G} = G/K$, $x \in G$ and $\overline{x} = xK \in G/K$. The following assertions is validity*

(i) $|x^K|$ and $|\overline{x}^{\overline{G}}|$ divide $|x^G|$.

(ii) If L and M are neigboring members of a composition factor of G, $L < M$, $S = M/L$, $x \in M$ and $\widetilde{x} = xL$ is a image of x, then $|\widetilde{x}^S|$ divides $|x^G|$.

(iii) If $y \in G, xy = yx$ and $(|x|, |y|) = 1$, then $C_G(xy) = C_G(x) \cap C_G(y)$.

(iv) If $(|x|, |K|) = 1$, then $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x}) = C_G(x)K/K$.

Lemma 9 ([9, Lemma 2.14]). *Let $n > 26$, t is a prime, $n/2 < t \leq n$, $\alpha \in N(Alt_n)$ and t does not divide α. Then $\alpha = |Alt_n|/t|C|$ or $\alpha = |Alt_n|/|Alt_{t+i}||B|$, where $C = C_{Alt_{n-t}}(g)$ for any $g \in Alt_{n-t}$, $t + i \leq n$ and $B = C_{Alt_{n-t-i}}(h)$ for any $h \in Alt_{n-t-i}$.*

Lemma 10 ([13]). *The product of k consecutive integers $n(n-1)...(n+k-1)$, which are greater than k, contains a prime divisor greater than $3k/2$ with the exceptions $3 \cdot 4, 8 \cdot 9$ and $6 \cdot 7 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \cdot 10$.*

Lemma 11. [14, Lemma 3.6] *Let s and p be distinct primes, H be a semidirect product of a normal p-subgroup T and a cyclic subgroup $\langle g \rangle$ of order s, and $[T, g] \neq 1$. Suppose that H acts faithfully on a vector space V over a field of positive characteristic t not equal to p. If the minimal polynomial of g on V does not equal $x^s - 1$, then*

(i) $C_T(g) \neq 1$;

(ii) T is non-Abelian;

(iii) $p = 2$ and $s = 2^{2^\delta} + 1$ is a Fermat prime.

Lemma 12. $N(Sym_n) \neq N(Alt_n)$

Proof. The proof is obvious. □

Lemma 13 ([9]). *Let G be a finite group such that $N(G) = N(Alt_n)$, where $n > 1361$. Then G has a composition factor isomorphic to an alternating group Alt_m with $m \leq n$ and the half-interval $(m, n]$ contains no primes.*

3 Proof of Theorem

Let $26 < n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n, n-1$ and $n-2$ are not prime, at least one of numbers n or $n-1$ are decomposed into a sum of two primes, G is a finite group with $Z(G) = 1$, $N(G) = N(Alt_n)$

and G has a composition factor $S \simeq Alt_{n-\varepsilon}$ where is a non-negative integer such that the set $\{n-\varepsilon, ..., n\}$ does not contain primes. Let K be a maximal normal subgroup of G such that G/K contains a subgroup which is isomorphic to S. Set $\Omega = \{t \mid t \text{ is prime, } n/2 < t \leq n\}$. It is clear that $S \leq G/K \leq Aut(S)$.

Lemma 14. *$|Alt_n|$ divides $|G|$.*

Proof. If n is odd then there exist $\alpha, \gamma \in N(G)$ such that $\alpha = n!/2n$, $\gamma = n!/4(n-1)$. If n is even then there exist $\alpha, \gamma \in N(G)$ such that $\alpha = n!/4n$, $\gamma = n!/2(n-1)$. The statement follows from Lemma 8. □

Lemma 15. *$\Omega \cap \pi(K) = \varnothing$.*

Proof. Assume that $\Omega \cap \pi(K) \neq \varnothing$. Let T be a normal subgroup of G lying in K such that $\pi(K/T) \cap \Omega \neq \varnothing$ and $\pi((K/T)/R) \cap \Omega = \varnothing$ for a minimal normal subgroup R of K/T. Let $\widetilde{G} = G/T$, $\widetilde{K} = K/T$, $t \in \pi(\widetilde{K}) \cap \Omega$ and $\widetilde{S} \leq \widetilde{G}$ be a pre-image of S of minimal order. Since R is minimal normal subgroup of $\widetilde{G}$, we obtain $R = R_1 \times ... \times R_k$, where $R_1, ..., R_k$ are isomorphic simple groups. Assume that R is non-solvable and $k > 1$. By Lemma 6 it follows that in R_1 and R_2 there exist y_1 and y_2 such that $|\pi(|y_1|)| = |\pi(|y_2|)| = 1$, $t \in \pi(|y_1^{R_1}|)$ and $t \in \pi(|y_2^{R_2}|)$. We have that t^2 divides $|(y_1y_2)^{R_1R_2}|$ and there exists $g \in G$ such that t^2 divides $|g^G|$; a contradiction. Hence $k = 1$. We have $\widetilde{S} < N_{\widetilde{G}}(R)$. Since $Out(A)$ is solvable for any finite simple group and $(N_{\widetilde{G}}(R)/C_{\widetilde{G}}(R))/R < Aut(R)$, we obtain $\widetilde{S} < C_{\widetilde{G}}(R)$. From Lemma 6 it follows that there exists $g \in R$ such that $t \in \pi(|g^R|)$. Using Lemma 8 we obtain that there exists an element $s \in \widetilde{S}$ such that $|s| \in \Omega \setminus \pi(g)$ and $t \in \pi(|s^{\widetilde{S}}|)$. Therefore, t^2 divides $|(sg)^{\widetilde{G}}|$; a contradiction.

It follows that R is an abelian t-group for any prime t. Let $g \in \widetilde{S}$ and $|g| \in \Omega \setminus \{t\}$. It is clear that g centralizes R. Therefore, $\widetilde{S}$ centralizes R. Since $t \nmid \widetilde{K}/R$, we see that $C_K(R) = R \times L$. Since $C_K(R) \lhd \widetilde{G}$, we see that $L \lhd \widetilde{G}$. Hence, $L = 1$. Therefore, $\widetilde{S} \simeq S$ and $\widetilde{G} \simeq (R \leftthreetimes \overline{K}) \times \widetilde{S}$, where $\overline{K} = \widetilde{K}/R$. If $\overline{K} \neq 1$, then $\overline{K}$ acts faithfully on R. By Lemma 3 it follows that there exists $h \in \widetilde{K}$ such that $|\pi(|h|)| = 1$ and t divides $|h^{\widetilde{K}}|$. Therefore, t^2 divides $|(hg)^{\widetilde{G}}|$; a contradiction. Thus, $\overline{K} = 1$. We have $G \simeq T.(R \times S)$. Similarly we can obtain that $G \simeq W.(F \times S)$, where $\pi(W) \cap \Omega = \varnothing$ and $\pi(F) \subseteq \Omega$. It is easy to prove that F is an abelian group.

Since $Z(G) = 1$, we see that $|h^G| > 1$ for any $h \in F$. By Lemma 4 if follows that there exist subgroups L and H such that $L \lhd G, L < W$, H is an unique normal subgroup

in $\widehat{G} = G/L$, $|x^H| > 1$ for some $x \in F$ and $|h^{(\widehat{G})/H}| = 1$ for every $h \in F$. In particular, $(W.S)/L.H < C_{\widehat{G}/H}(F)$ and $|h^{\widehat{G}}| = |h^H|$ for every $h \in F$.

Let $x \in F$, $|x| = t$, $r \in \Omega$ and $t \neq r \neq 2^j + 1$. Since H is a minimal normal subgroup of $\widehat{G}$, we have $H \simeq H_1 \times ... \times H_l$ where $H_1, ..., H_l$ are isomorphic finite simple groups. Assume that H is an elementary abelian k-group. It is clear that $C_H(x) \trianglelefteq \widehat{G}$. By the definition of H we obtain that $C_H(x) = 1$. Therefore, x acts on H fixed points freely. In S there exists a Frobenius group $A = \langle y \rangle \leftthreetimes \langle z \rangle$, where $|y| = r, |z| \in \pi(r-1) \setminus \{k\}$, since $r-1$ is not a power of prime there exists $|z|$. Hence, $t \in \pi(|y^S|) \cap \pi(|z^S|)$. Let $\widehat{A} < \widehat{G}$ be a pre-image of A of minimal order. Since $r \nmid |\widehat{W}|$ and by Frattini argument, we obtain $\langle \widehat{y} \rangle \lhd \widehat{A}$, where $\widehat{y} \in \widehat{A}$ is a pre-image of y of minimal order. By Lemma 11 it follows that one of groups $C_R(\widehat{y})$ or $C_R(\widehat{z})$ is not trivial. Let $v \in \{\widehat{y}, \widehat{z}\}$ such that $C_H(v) > 1$ and $f \in C_H(v)$. Hence the subgroup $C_{\widehat{G}}(vf)$ intersects with $x^{\widehat{G}}$ and with any Sylow t-subgroup of $\widehat{S}$ trivially. Thus $t^2 || (vf)^{\overline{G}}|$; a contradiction.

We have H is non-solvable and H_1 is a non-abelian simple group. Since $t > s$ for any $s \in \pi(H)$ and by Lemma 7, we see that $H_i^x \neq H_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$. Let $b \in H, b \neq 1$, $b = b_1 b_2 ... b_v$ where if $b_i, b_j \in H_c$ for any $1 \leq c \leq l$, then $i = j$, we shall that $leng(b) = v$. We have t divides $leng(b)$ for any $b \in C_H(x)$. If $a_1 \in H_1$, $a = a_1 \cdot a_1^y ... a_t^{y^r}$, then $leng(a) = 1$ or $leng(a) = r$. Hence, $a \in C_{\widehat{G}}(\widehat{y})$ and $C_{\widehat{G}}(a) \cap x^{\widehat{G}} = \varnothing$. It follows that $t \nmid |C_{\widehat{G}}(a\widehat{y})|$. Thus, t^2 divides $|(a\widehat{y})^{\widehat{G}}|$; a contradiction. □

By the condition, n or $n-1$ is equal to $p+q$, where p and q are primes. We can assume that $p \geq q$. Further our proof is divided into three propositions.

Proposition 1. *If $p = q$ then Theorem is true.*

Proposition 2. *If $q = 3$ then Theorem is true.*

Proposition 3. *$G \simeq Alt_n$.*

4 Proof of Proposition 1

Assume that n or $n-1$ is equal to $2p$.

Lemma 16. $\delta := n!/2p^2 \in N(G)$

Proof. Take in Alt_n the element $g = (1, 2, ..., p)(p+1, ..., 2p)$. It is obvious that $|C_{Alt_n}(g)| = p^2$. □

Lemma 17. *If $p \nmid \alpha$ for any $\alpha \in N(G)$, then $\alpha = \delta$ or $\alpha = n!/p(n-p)!$.*

Proof. The proof is obvious. □

Lemma 18. $p \nmid |K|$

Proof. Assume that p divides $|K|$. Let $P \in Syl_p(K)$. By Frattini argument, $N_G(P)/N_K(P) \simeq G/K$. Let $N = N_G(P)/O_{p'}(N_K(P))$, $\overline{S}$ be a minimal pre-mage in N of S, M be a minimal normal subgroup in N. Take an element $g \in N_G(P)$ such that $|g| = p$ and $\overline{g} = gO_{p'}(K)/O_{p'}(K) \in M$. It is obvious that $M < Z(Q)$ for any $Q \in Syl_p(N)$. In particular, every p-element of N centralizes M. Since $\overline{S}$ is generated by all its p-elements, we see that $\overline{S} < C_N(M)$. In particular, $t \in \pi(C_N(\overline{g})$ for any $t \in \Omega$. Using Lemma 8 we get that $p \nmid |g^G|$. Therefore, $|g^G| = \delta$. Combining Lemmas 15 and 8 we obtain that $\pi(|g^G|) \cap \Omega = \varnothing$; a contradiction. □

Lemma 19. $G \simeq Alt_n$

Proof. By Lemmas 14 and 18 it follows that p^2 divides $|S|$ and, consequently, $\varepsilon \leq 1$. Assume that $K \neq 1$. Let $g \in G, |g| = p, |g^G|\delta, \overline{g} = gK/K$. Then $|\overline{g}^S| = \delta$ or $|\overline{g}^S| = \delta/n$. Suppose that $|\overline{g}^S| = \delta/n$. Then g acts on K non-trivially. Using 8 we get $|g^K| = n$. It is easy to prove that for every $t \in \pi(n)$ we have p divides $|n|_t - 1$. But it is impossible since $n - 1 = 2p$; a contradiction. Therefore, $|\overline{g}^S| = \delta$, $\varepsilon = 0$ and $K < C_G(g)$. Since $Z(G) = 1$ there exists an element $h \in K$ with $|h^G| > 1$. Hence, $\delta|$ divides $|(hg)^G|$ and $\delta \neq |(hg^G)|$, however δ is maximal by divisibility in $N(G)$; a contradiction. Thus, $K = 1$ and $S \simeq Alt_n$. The statement of Lemma follows from Lemma 12. □

The proposition is proved.

5 Proof of Proposition 2

Assume that $q = 3$. Let $g \in G$, $|g| = p$ and $\overline{g} \in S$ be the image of g in S. By Lemma 8 we have $|\overline{g}^S|$ divides $|g^G|$ divides $n!/p$. Suppose that $\varepsilon > 0$. Then $|g^K|$ divides $\prod_{n-\varepsilon+1}^{n} i$. It is easy to prove that p divides $|\prod_{n-\varepsilon+1}^{n} i|_t - 1$ for any $t \in \pi(\prod_{n-\varepsilon+1}^{n} i)$; a contradiction. Therefore, $\varepsilon = 0$. The statement of Lemma gets similarly as in Lemma 19.

6 Proof of proposition 3

Let $p > q > 3, g \in G, |g| = p$ and $\overline{g} \in S$ the image of g in S.

Lemma 20. $\alpha = |g^G| = n!/p(n-p)!$.

Proof. We have $\Omega \setminus \{p\} \subset \pi(\overline{g}^S)$. Using Lemma 8 we get $\Omega \setminus \{p\} \subset \pi(\alpha)$. By Lemma 9 it follows that $\alpha = n!/p|C|$ where $C = C_{Alt_{n-p}}(h)$ for an any element $h \in Alt_{n-p}$.

Assume that $|C| < (n-p)!$. Let $h \in G$ such that $|h^G| = n!/pq$. Since $|G|_p = p$ we can assume that $h \in C_G(g)$. If $p \in \pi(h)$, then α divides $|h^G|$ and, consequently, q divides $|C|$. Therefore, $\alpha = n!/pq$. Let $x \in C_G(g)$ such that $|x^G| = n!/pa, 1 < a \neq q$. Since $\alpha \nmid |x^G|$ and by Lemma 8, we obtain that $p \notin \pi(|x|)$. Hence, $|x^G|$ and α divide $|(xg)^G|$, but α is maximal by divisibility in $N(G)$; a contradiction. Therefore, $p \notin \pi(h)$ and we can assume that $\alpha \neq |h^G|$. Since $|h^G|$ is maximal by divisibility in $N(G)$ we obtain that $|(gh)^G| = |h^G|$. Therefore, α divides $|(gh)^G|$. Thus, $\alpha = n!/p(n-p)!$. □

Let $C = C_G(g)$, $\alpha = |g^G|$, $\gamma = n!/pq$, $\Theta = \{n!/pb, b|(n-p)!\} \cap N(G)$ and $Q \in Syl_q(C)$.

Lemma 21. *The following statements hold*

1. $Q \not\leq Z(C)$;
2. *If* $v \in Q \setminus Z(C)$ *then* $|(gv)^G| = \gamma$;
3. $|Q|/|Q \cap Z(C)| = q$;
4. *If* $v \in Q \setminus Z(C)$ *and* f *is a* $\{p,q\}'$*-element of* $C_G(gv) \setminus Z(C)$, *then* $|(gf)^G| = \gamma$.

Proof. 1. By Proposition 2 it follows that $n - p > 3$. Therefore, there exists $\beta \in \Theta$ such that $\beta_q > \alpha_q$. Let $h \in C$ and $|h^G| = \beta$. Since $C_G(gh) \leq C, |C_G(gh)|_q < |C|_q$ and by Lemma 8, we obtain that there exists $r \in Q \setminus Z(C)$.

2. We have $|(gr)^G| \neq \alpha$. Assume that for any $l \in G$ such that $|(lg)^G| = \gamma$, where $l \in C_G(g)$ and $\pi(l) = \{t\}$, we have $t \neq q$. Let $b \in C$ and $|b^G| = \gamma$. Since b centralizes some Sylow q-subgroup of C, we can assume that $r \in C_G(b)$. Therefore, γ divides $|(grb)^G|$ and $|(gr)^G||||(grb)^G|$; a contradiction. Thus, there exists $r' \in Q$ such that $|(gr')^G| = \gamma$.

Suppose that there exists $r'' \in Q \setminus Z(C)$ such that $|(r''g)^G| = \delta \neq \gamma$. Then there exists $\{p,q\}'$-element $a \in C_G(gr'')$. It is obvious that $|(ga)^G| \neq \gamma$. Therefore, $r' \notin C_G(ga)$ and $|(gar'')^G|_q > |(gr'')^G|_q$; a contradiction.

3. Let $v \in C$ and $|v^G| = \beta$. Since $|(gb)^G| = \gamma$ for any $b \in Q \setminus Z(C)$, we see that $C_G(gv) \cap Q = Z(C) \cap Q$, and, consequently, $|Q|/|Z(C) \cap Q| = q$.

□

Lemma 22. $n - p - \varepsilon < 5$

Proof. Assume that $n-p-\varepsilon \geq 5$. From Frattini argument and by Lemma 21 it follows that there exists a subgroup H in $N_C(Q)$ such that $H/K \cap H \simeq Alt_5$. Let $\overline{N} = N_C(Q)/Z(Q) \cap Z(C) and \overline{Q} = Q/Z(Q) \cap Z(C)$. Note that by Lemma 21 we have $|\overline{Q}| = q$. Therefore, $\overline{N}/C_{\overline{N}}(\overline{Q})$ is isomorphic to a cyclic subgroup of order dividing $q-1$ in $Aut(\overline{Q})$. Hence, $C_{\overline{N}}(\overline{Q}) \geq H$. Let $h_1, h_2 \in H \setminus Z(C), |h_1| = 2$ and $|h_2| = 3$. Since $|(gh_1)^G| = |(gh_2)^G| = \gamma$, we see that $C_G(gh_1) = C_G(gh_2)$; a contradiction. □

Lemma 23. *If $n - p - \varepsilon = 4$, then 3 divides $q - 1$.*

Proof. Assume that $n-p-\varepsilon = 4$. By Frattini argument and Lemma 21 it follows that there exists a subgroup $H < N_C(Q)$ such that $H/K \cap H \simeq Alt_4$. Let $\overline{N} = N_C(Q)/Z(Q) \cap Z(C)$ and $\overline{Q} = Q/Z(Q) \cap Z(C)$. Note that by Lemma 21 we have $|\overline{Q}| = q$. Therefore, $\overline{N}/C_{\overline{N}}(\overline{Q})$ is isomorphic to a cyclic subgroup of order dividing $q-1$ in $Aut(\overline{Q})$. If $C_{\overline{N}}(\overline{Q}) \geq H$, then similarly as in Lemma 22 we obtain a contradiction. Thus, $|H/C_{\overline{N}}(\overline{Q}) \cap H| = 3$. □

Lemma 24. *The number $\Pi = \prod_{n-\varepsilon+1}^{n} i$ is not divisible by primes which are larger than q.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists a prime t dividing Π and $t > q$. Then t divides only one number from the set $\{n - \varepsilon + 1, ..., n\}$. In particular, $|\Pi|_t - 1 < p$. By Lemma 20 it follows that $|g^G| = \alpha$ and $|\overline{g}^{G/K}| = (n-\varepsilon)!/(n-\varepsilon-p)!$. Since K is not divisible by p, we obtain $|g^K| = \Pi/(\prod_{n-p-\varepsilon+1}^{n-p} i)$. Therefore, t divides $|g^K|$. Let H be a maximal normal subgroup in K such that t divides $|\widetilde{g}^{\widetilde{K}}|$, where $\widetilde{K} = K/H$ and $\widetilde{g} = gH \in G/H$. Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of $\widetilde{K}$. By maximality of H, t divides $|\widetilde{g}^N|$. We have $N \simeq N_1 \times ... \times N_k$, where $N_1, ..., N_k$ are isomorphic simple groups. It is easy to prove that $|\widetilde{g}^N|_t \geq p + 1$; a contradiction. □

Lemma 25. *If $\varepsilon > 0$ then $\varepsilon \leq 4, q \leq 7$ and if $n - \varepsilon - p = 2$ then $n - p \leq 5$.*

Proof. The statement of Lemma follows from Lemmas 10, 22, 23 and 24. □

Lemma 26. $\varepsilon = 0$

Proof. Assume that $\varepsilon > 0$. Then $|g^K| = \alpha/|\overline{g}^{G/K}|$. It is clear that if $\varepsilon > 1$, therefore there exists an odd prime divisor t of $|g^K|$. We can show that p divides $|g^K|_t - 1$. If t divides only one number from the set $\Lambda = \{n - \varepsilon + 1, ..., n\}$, then we can obtain a contradiction as in Lemma 24. By Lemma 25 it follows that $\varepsilon \leq 4$. Since t divides two numbers from the set Λ we have $t = 3$. Therefore, $|g^K|_t \leq t^{l+1}$, where t^l divides only one number from the set Λ. Analyzing the residue of the division of t^l on p it is easy to get a contradiction. □

Lemma 27. $G \simeq Alt_n$.

Proof. Since $|\overline{g^S}| = |g^S|$, we see that $K < C_G(g)$. Therefore, $K.S$ is a central extension. Since $Z(G) = 1$, it follows that $Z(K) = 1$. Assume that $K \neq 1$. Let $h \in K$ be an element of prime order t. Then t is co prime to the number $\theta \in \{n-1, n-2\}$. There exists $x \in G$ such that $|x| = \theta$ or $|x| = \theta/2$ and $|x^S| = n!/\theta$. It's obvious that $|x^S|$ is maximal by divisibility in $N(G)$. By Lemma 8 we obtain $|(hx')^G| > |x^S|$, where $x'G$ is a pre-image in G of x; a contradiction. Thus $K = 1$. By Lemma 12 we obtain the statement of Lemma. □

The Proposition and Theorem proved.

Corollary follows from Lemma 13 and Theorem.

References

[1] V. D. Mazurov, E. I. Khukhro, Eds., The Kourovka Notebook: Unsolved Problems in Group Theory, Russian Academy of Sciences Siberian Division, Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, Russia, 18th edition, 2014.

[2] N. Ahanjideh, M. Ahanjideh, On the validity of Thompson's conjecture for finite simple groups. Comm. Algebra 41:11 (2013), 4116-4145.

[3] S. H. Alavi and A.Daneshkhah, A new characterization of alternating and symmetric groups, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing, 17:1 (2005), 245-258.

[4] A. V. Vasil'ev, On Thompson's Conjecture, Siberian electronic mathematical reports, **6** (2009), 457-464.

[5] I. Gorshkov, Thompsons conjecture for simple groups with connected prime graph, Algebra and Logic, 51:2 (2012), 111-127.

[6] M. Xu, Thompson's conjecture for alternating group of degree 22, Frontiers of Mathematics in China, 8:5 (2013), 1227-1236.

[7] S. Liu, On Thompson's conjecture for alternating group A_{26}, Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 32, 2014, 525-532.

[8] I. B. Gorshkov, Towards Thompson's conjecture for alternating and symmetric groups, Journal of Group Theory, 19:2 (2016), 331-336.

[9] I. B. Gorshkov, On Thompson's conjecture for alternating and symmetric groups of degree more then 1361, Trudy Instituta Matematiki i Mekhaniki UrO RAN, 22:1 (2016), 4451.

[10] D. Gorenstein, Finite groups, Harper and Row, New York, 1968.

[11] I. Vacula, On the structure of finite groups isospectral to an alternating group, Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics, 272:1 (supplement) (2011), 271-286.

[12] B. Fein, W. Kantor, M. Schacher, Relative Brauer groups II, J. Reine Angew. Math. 328 (1981), 39-57.

[13] D. Hanson, On a theorem of Sylvester and Schur. Canad. Math. Bull. 16 (1973), 195-199.

[14] A. Vasil'ev, On finite groups isospectral to simple classical groups, J. Algebra, 423 (2015), 318-374.

I.B. Gorshkov

N. N. Krasovskii Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics

16 S. Kovalevskaya St., Ekaterinburg, 620990, Russia

E-mail address: ilygor8@gmail.com